WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA** 

	V.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Jesus Manuel Urias-Castaneda	Case Number:	11-6069M	
present and	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 d was represented by counsel. I conclude by a post of the defendant pending trial in this case.	2(f), a detention hearing preponderance of the every	was held on February 22, 2011. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		IDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a p	preponderance of the evidence that:			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant face: Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the or otherwise removed.	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts	s in the United States of	r in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appea	r in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law er	nforcement contact by f	leeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	y	vears imprisonment.	
The at the time	of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in	indings of the Pretrial Son the record.  CLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant No condition or combination of conditions	will flee.	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a correction appeal. The of the Unite	e defendant is committed to the custody of the ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, fro ne defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opped and States or on request of an attorney for the Go to the United States Marshal for the purpose of	Attorney General or his m persons awaiting or so portunity for private consovernment, the person in	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT I deliver a co Court.	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this dete	ention order be filed witl	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT I Services su	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a the sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the the potential third party custodian.	hird party is to be conside District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATED this 23 <sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2011.				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge